## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Su	ipplemental							
LRB Number 03-0561/2	Introduction Number AB-	336							
Subject Individual income tax exemption for all pension income									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Rev Decrease Existing Dec	ease Existing renues trease Existing renues to absorb within age renues The provided age to absorb within age to absorb within age to absorb within age The provided age to absorb within age to absor	ay be possible ency's budget No							
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts  School Districts									
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG 20 566(1)(a)									
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date							
OR/ Karyn Kriz (608) 261-8984 Dennis Collier (608) 266-5773 6/2/20									

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 6/2/2003

LRB Number	03-0561/2	Introduction Number	AB-336	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject								
Individual income tax exemption for all pension income								

### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill would exempt from taxation up to \$2,500 of income received from a retirement plan in taxable year 2005 if the income is not already exempt from taxation. The maximum allowable exemption would increase from \$2,500 to \$5,000 in 2006, \$10,000 in 2007, \$15,000 in 2008, and \$20,000 in 2009 and thereafter.

Under current law, the pension benefits of certain public employees are exempt from state taxation. Pension plans that are exempt from taxation include the following: U.S. Civil Service or military, Milwaukee city and county, Milwaukee police officers and public school teachers, Wisconsin state teachers retirement fund, and the sheriff's annuity and benefit fund of Milwaukee county.

Based on a simulation using the 2001 Individual Income Tax model, adjusted for 2003 law, allowing an exemption up to \$2,500 for each person with retirement income would reduce taxes for 397,200 filers and decrease state income tax revenues between \$57 million and \$86 million. A range, rather than a specific amount, is estimated because it is not possible to tell from information reported in tax returns whether one or both spouses receive pension distributions when a couple reports such income. If it is assumed one spouse receives all the pension income, the revenue loss would be \$57 million; if both spouses receive pensions, the loss would be \$86 million.

In fiscal year 2006, the Department would incur one-time costs of \$32,000 for salaries and fringe benefits. Beginning in fiscal year 2006, the Department would incur annual costs of \$198,700 for salaries and fringe benefits, postage, printing, supplies, and training and infrastructure replacement.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Performing similar simulations for taxable years 2006-2009 allowing for the annual increase in the maximum allowable exemption, results in a decrease in state income tax revenues of \$100 million to \$144 million in 2006, \$163 million to \$222 million in 2007, \$209 million to \$271 million in 2008, and \$243 million to \$302 million annually in 2009 and thereafter.

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental
	Number	03-0561	/2		Intro	duction Nu	umber	AB-336
	dual income ta							
aiiiiua	e-time Costs alized fiscal e 000 in salarie	enect):		Stat	te and/or	Local Gover	rnment (do	o not include in
II. Ann	nualized Cos	its:				Annualized	Fiscal Imp	pact on funds from:
						Increased Co		Decreased Costs
_	te Costs by							
	te Operations		nd Fringes			\$159,2	200	
	E Position Ch					(3.5 FT	ΓE)	
	te Operations		:s			39,5	500	
	al Assistance							
	s to Individual							
	OTAL State					\$198,7	700	\$
	te Costs by	Source of Fu	unds					
GPF						198,7	700	
FED								
<del></del>	D/PRS							
	G/SEG-S							
III. Sta revenu	III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)							
I C D E	`T			<b>—</b>		Increased R		Decreased Rev
	R Taxes		<del></del>				\$	\$
FED	R Earned			<b>—</b>				
	D/PRS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\dashv$				
	S/SEG-S			$\dashv$	<del></del>			
	OTAL State I	D		$\dashv$	<del></del>		·	
11'	JIAL State			<u>_</u>			\$	\$
			NET ANNUA	LIZ	ED FISC			
NFT C	HANGE IN C	`OSTS		$\dashv$			<u>ate</u>	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		$\dashv$		\$198,7		\$		
142.0	TANGE IN I	IEVENUE				\$SeeTe	ext	\$
Acono	/Dramarad	D	-					
	cy/Prepared I	•		Auı	thorized	Signature		Date
DOR/ Karyn Kriz (608) 261-8984 Der		nnis Colli	er (608) 266-5	5773	6/2/2003			